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Abstract. Boreal and subarctic peatlands comprise a carbon pool of 455 Pg that has
accumulated during the postglacial period at an average net rate of 0.096 Pg/yr (1 Pg =
10^{14} g). Using Clymo's (1984) model, the current rate is estimated at 0.076 Pg/yr. Long-
term drainage of these peatlands is estimated to be causing the oxidation to CO_{2} of a little
more than 0.0085 Pg/yr, with combustion of fuel peat adding ≈0.026 Pg/yr. Emissions of
CH_{4} are estimated to release ≈0.046 Pg of carbon annually.

Uncertainties beset estimates of both stocks and fluxes, particularly with regard to
Soviet peatlands. The influence of water table alterations upon fluxes of both CO_{2} and CH_{4}
is in great need of investigation over a wide range of peatland environments, especially in
regions where permafrost melting, thermokarst erosion, and the development of thaw lakes
are likely results of climatic warming. The role of fire in the carbon cycle of peatlands also
deserves increased attention. Finally, satellite-monitoring of the abundance of open water
in the peatlands of the West Siberian Plain and the Hudson/James Bay Lowland is suggested as
a likely method of detecting early effects of climatic warming upon boreal and subarctic
peatlands.
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INTRODUCTION

Peatlands are characteristic of waterlogged situations in which, owing to anoxic and cool conditions a few
centimetres or decimetres beneath the surface, organic detritus accumulates, usually on relatively flat land-
sapes, to depths > 30 or 40 cm (depending upon which country's definition is accepted) and often up to several
metres. Peat may consist predominantly of reed, cat-
tail, and sedge remains where water enriched in bases
and nutrients from surrounding mineral soils percolates
through the surface peat; such peatlands are termed
minerotrophic fens. Where peatlands in wet climates
are domed above the surrounding landscape and inputs
of bases and nutrients to the peat surface are derived
solely from the atmosphere, the peat consists primarily
of the remains of Sphagnum mosses; these peatlands
are termed ombrotrophic bogs. Many flat peatlands
also have an abundance of Sphagnum (Kulczynski 1949;
W. D. Billings, personal communication). Situations
such as these are prevalent over large areas in the boreal
and subarctic zones (Gore 1983), in which are locked
up vast amounts of carbon sequestered from the at-
mosphere by photosynthesis and not yet released by
decomposition.

Human activities, primarily drainage, have affected
the carbon balance of peatlands substantially (Armen-
tano and Menges 1986, Gorham 1988). Changing cli-
matic conditions can also be expected to affect greatly
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many areas. However, it will also cause the formation of many shallow thaw ponds and lakes. These will probably release a good deal of CO$_2$ by oxidation of eroded peat particulates, but the initiation of hydrosero succession in many of them will lead eventually to renewed peat accumulation (Chapin et al. 1980, Luken and Billings 1983).

Climatic warming may renew peatland accumulation in subarctic peatlands (Gorham 1988, 1990), where it ceased long ago owing to climatic cooling and the development of continuous permafrost (Zoltai and Tarnocai 1975, Zoltai and Pollett 1983). It may also shift peatland formation, like the tree line (Miller 1981), into landscapes even farther north. Therefore, the topography of those landscapes will govern where entirely new peat deposits will accumulate. The importance of the topographic factor is evident in the location of the two major peatland areas in the world. The largest lies on the vast and nearly level West Siberian Plain between the Ob and Yenisey rivers in the USSR (Neishadt 1977, Walter 1977, Neustadt 1984). Slopes may vary from 0.1–0.8% in wet "aapa" peatlands to as much as 4% in 1000 in less wet sites. The peats are also underlain by relatively impermeable substrates (Walter 1977). The next largest peatland occupies the Hudson/James Bay Lowland of Canada, another region of flat topography where the slope is commonly less than 1% in 1000, and where relatively impermeable marine silt/clays and other deposits favor waterlogging (Riley 1982).

In this review, because more and better information is available concerning carbon stocks, rates of accumulation, and CH$_4$ emissions in North American peatlands, attention will be focused upon them. Attempts will be made, however, to use available Eurasian data and to produce global estimates.

**CURRENT CARBON STOCKS AND DISTRIBUTION**

There is far more carbon in the peat beneath the surface than in the vegetation currently growing on that surface. Boreal and subarctic regions contain the largest areas of peatland, although some is found in more temperate and even tropical parts of the world (Gore 1983).

**Total carbon pool in boreal and subarctic peat**

Boreal and subarctic peatlands are located almost wholly in the USSR, Canada, the USA and the Fennoscandian countries, with a total area of 346 x 10$^6$ ha. Of that area 11.5 x 10$^6$ ha, or 3.3%, has been drained (Table 1), and about 4.4 x 10$^6$ ha (1.3%) has been mined for horticultural peat and fuel (Kivinen and Pakarinen 1981).

The mean depth of boreal and subarctic peatlands is estimated to be 2.3 m (Table 1). Using a mean bulk density of 112 g/L and a carbon content of 51.7% of dry mass (much higher than the 40% in carbohydrates),

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area (10$^6$ ha)</th>
<th>Mean depth (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>USSR 150*</td>
<td>3.5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada 119†</td>
<td>0.1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA 55‡</td>
<td>0.5‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fennoscandia 22*</td>
<td>6.0*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.3††</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^*$ Data from Kivinen and Pakarinen (1981).
† Modified from Tarnocai (1984); see Major uncertainties, Carbon stock, but with new data for Ontario (Riley 1986).
‡ Alaska (Kivinen and Pakarinen 1981); Minnesota, Michigan, Wisconsin, Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, and Washington (McKinzie 1982).
§ Calculated by applying percent drainage in Minnesota to all of the states in the previous footnote except Alaska.
# Estimated by comparison with Canadian data.
** Data from Lappalainen (1980).
†† Weighted for area.

both derived from extensive Canadian data sets (Gorham 1988, 1990), we can then estimate readily the total carbon in the dry mass of boreal and subarctic peat, subtracting the mined area, as (3.42 x 10$^{12}$ m$^2$ x (2.3 m) x (112 x 10$^3$ g/m$^3$) = (0.517) = 455 x 10$^{12}$ g, or 455 petagrams (Pg). This amounts to about one-third of the total world pool of soil carbon (1395 Pg) estimated by Post et al. (1982), and is substantially greater than their estimate of carbon tied up in moist and wet boreal forest and tundra (374 Pg, or 347 Pg in Post et al. 1985).

The estimate of 455 Pg given above is considerably higher than others, for instance my own earlier value (Gorham 1990) of 180–227 Pg, and that of 300 Pg for global peatlands by Sjörs (1980). It is also higher than the estimate of 249 Pg given by Armentano and Menges (1986) for northern peatlands, but they assumed an average depth of only 1 m. If a depth of 2.3 m were used instead, their estimate would rise to 573 Pg. Oechel (1989) estimates boreal peatlands to contain only 210 Pg. A carbon pool of 455 Pg for boreal and subarctic peatlands is very substantially greater than the global pools of dead organic matter estimated by Oechel (1989) for Arctic tundra, 55 Pg, and upland boreal forest, 88 Pg. These numbers would rise to 61 and 159 Pg, respectively, if live biomass were added.

**Carbon pool in vegetation**

Total biomass of above- plus belowground vegetation in peatlands is extremely variable, depending on whether they are forested. Dry biomass in relatively open peatlands can be as low as 760 g/m$^2$, whereas in densely forested peatlands it can be almost 20 times as high, at 13 800 g/m$^2$ (Grigal et al. 1985). Assuming a carbon content of 45% (Olson et al. 1983), these carbon mass values become 342 and 6210 g/m$^2$. The median dry biomass in 14 peatlands for which data
were compiled by Bradbury and Grace (1983) and Gri-gal et al. (1985) is 2760 g/m² (carbon 1240 g/m²), whereas the mean is 4430 g/m² (carbon 1990 g/m²). The last of these carbon numbers is close to the estimate by Olson et al. (1983) of 2000 g/m², whereas Oechel (1989) estimates 2700 g/m².

Vegetation vs. peat

On a peatland area basis the global carbon pool of 455 Pg (estimated above) amounts to 133 000 g/m², as compared to ≈2000 g/m² tied up in vegetation. It appears, therefore, that ≈98.5% of total peatland carbon occurs in the form of peat, as against ≈1.5% in the vegetation.

Carbon fluxes in boreal and subarctic peatlands

Net carbon flux from the atmosphere to undrained peatlands can be estimated by adding to the data provided above a figure for the annual rate of increase in height. Unfortunately, owing to the slowness with which peatlands plants decompose, current rates of peat accumulation cannot be measured directly. Some evidence (Clymo 1984) suggests that decay may indeed continue anaerobically over hundreds and even thousands of years. Long-term rates can, however, be measured over thousands of years by radiocarbon dating.

Several such estimates for varying lengths of time in the postglacial are given in Table 2; those of E. Gorham, J. A. Janssens, S. C. Zoltai, and R. S. Clymo (unpublished manuscript) are derived wholly from 138 basal 14C dates for the entire region. An overall height accumulation rate of 0.5 mm/yr seems both conservative and reasonable. Assuming that this figure applies today, the dry-mass carbon flux from the atmosphere to undrained and unmined boreal and subarctic peatlands can be calculated as $(3.30 \times 10^{12} \text{ m}^2) \times (0.0005 \text{ m yr}^{-1}) \times (112 \times 10^3 \text{ g/m}^2) \times (0.517) = 0.096 \times 10^4 \text{ g} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, or 0.096 Pg/yr. On an area basis this amounts to 29 g/m² yr⁻¹. Divided into the total pool of 439 Pg for these same peatlands, a rate of 0.096 Pg/yr yields an average age for the peat deposits of 4600 yr.

This estimate of long-term carbon storage at 0.096 Pg/yr is very similar to my earlier estimate of 0.091 Pg/yr (Gorham 1990) and also to that of Sjörs (1980), 0.090 Pg/yr, for global peatlands. Silvola (1986) suggested a higher value, 0.110 Pg/yr, for global peatlands, and Armentano and Menges (1986) a lower range, 0.050–0.075 Pg/yr, for northern peatlands.

The assumption that the estimated overall accretion rate of 0.5 mm/yr applies today is, however, unlikely as a general rule. Clymo (1984) has developed a model of decreasing peat accumulation over time, as follows: $m = p/e(1-e^{-at})$, where $m = \text{accumulated mass on an area basis at time } t$, $p = \text{annual rate of dry mass addition to the anaerobic peat mass, the catotelm, after aerobic decay has occurred in the acrotelm above}$, and $a = \text{fraction of decomposition in the total mass of the catotelm, which continues to release CO}_2$ and CH₄, anaerobically and very slowly.

For 38 boreal peat cores (E. Gorham, J. A. Janssens, S. C. Zoltai, and R. S. Clymo, unpublished manuscript) whose diverse basal 14C dates have been treated as coming from a single peat profile (thereby assuming constancy of $p$ and $a$ over both space and time), $m = 80 \text{ g m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ and $a = 0.00014$. If these values are applied to an average peat depth of 2.3 m (Table 1), and assumptions are made of bulk density = 112 g/L and carbon fraction = 0.517, then the current carbon accretion rate becomes 23 g m⁻² yr⁻¹. Over a total area of $3.30 \times 10^{12} \text{ m}^2$ this becomes 0.076 Pg/yr. This same model, with the same assumptions, yields an average age for these peat deposits of 4300 yr. Divided into 2.3 m depth, this results in an overall peat accumulation rate of 0.35 mm/yr, very similar to that derived from the data in Table 2.

There is a further question, whether individual peatlands are spreading laterally or, alternatively, are contracting in area. Although erosion and gullying do damage some peatlands, most often those subjected to human disturbance, there is no evidence for major contractions in the area of undisturbed peatlands. The evidence for present expansion is scanty, and some boreal peatlands have shown very little increase in recent millennia (Malmström 1923). According to Sjörs (1982) the main period of peatland spreading (paludification) was between 5000 and 2000 yr BP. However, Neustadt (1984), taking the large (2268 km²) Bakchar Bog in Western Siberia as typical and assuming an accretion rate of 0.5 mm/yr (Neushtadt et al. 1974), suggests that spreading has continued fairly rapidly up to the present time (Table 3). During the early Holocene in west-central Canada, fens and extensive peat

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Height accumulation rate (mm/yr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>South Sweden and North Germany (median value from Töloken 1979)</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South and central Finland (median value from Töloken 1979)</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Europe (Aaby 1986)</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boreal USSR (Boutch and Masing 1983)</td>
<td>0.6–0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siberian palsa province (Boutch and Masing 1983)</td>
<td>0.2–0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eurasia (Zurek 1976)</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subarctic Canada (E. Gorham et al., unpublished manuscript)</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boreal Canada (E. Gorham et al., unpublished manuscript)</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada overall (E. Gorham et al., unpublished manuscript)</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The utilization of peat for fuel releases yet more carbon dioxide to the atmosphere. According to Kiviinnen (1980), the USSR produces ≈100 × 10^6 tons (megagrams) of fuel peat (40% water) annually, with Finland the only other significant boreal-subarctic producer at 1.5 × 10^6 tons. Both and Masing (1983), however, claim that Soviet fuel peat production is only 60–65 × 10^6 tons/yr and declining. Averaging these two estimates for the USSR, the annual carbon release from fuel peat is (82.75 × 10^12 g) × (0.60 [fraction dry mass]) × (0.517 [fraction carbon]) = 0.026 Pg/yr.

Net flux of CH₄ from boreal and subarctic peatlands to the atmosphere is also difficult to estimate. Data are scarce, tend to be logarithmically distributed, and do not include fluxes by ebullition (Harriss et al. 1985). Addition of tower and airplane measurements, on a much larger scale than current flux-chamber measurements, may improve spatial estimates. They are currently being tested by scientists with NASA support. CH₄ estimates are affected strongly by temperature (Crill et al. 1988, Whalen and Reeburgh 1988) and depth of water table (Harriss et al. 1982, Sebacher et al. 1986; N. B. Dise, personal communication). Nevertheless, data compiled by Crill et al. (1988) (Table 4) show rather little variation in peak midsummer carbon fluxes (means of 96 to 188 mg·m⁻²·d⁻¹) over a range from 26° to 62° N latitude, the lowest value coming from Florida and the highest from the mountains of West Virginia. Variation in water table depth is probably an important confounding factor in these sites. Distinctly lower peak carbon flux values (23 and 46 mg·m⁻²·d⁻¹) are given for northern sites (65° and 56° N) by Whalen and Reeburgh (1988) and by Moore and Knowles (1987). By far the largest data base comes from Minnesota (Crill et al. 1988), and if we employ half that peak carbon emission rate of 155 mg·m⁻²·d⁻¹ for an average of 180 d/yr above 0° (Gorham 1988). CH₄ emissions from undrained boreal and subarctic peatlands would amount to (3.30 × 10^12 m³) × (0.0775 g·m⁻²·d⁻¹) × (180 d) = 0.046 Pg/yr. This is almost the same as the estimate by Matthews and Fung (1987), using quite different methods, for wetlands between 50° and 70° N.

The various carbon fluxes, and the total carbon stock, are summarized in Table 5. It appears that the amount of carbon released as CO₂ from northern peat deposits to the atmosphere owing to drainage and peat com-

### Table 3. Spreading of the Bakchar Bog in Western Siberia during postglacial time (Neustadl 1984).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period (yr BP)</th>
<th>Area (km²)</th>
<th>Increase (km²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8000</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6000</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4000</td>
<td>1007</td>
<td>801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>1808</td>
<td>460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2268</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4. Carbon flux as methane in midsummer along a latitudinal gradient (from Crill et al. 1988).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Latitude (°N)</th>
<th>Number of samples</th>
<th>Methane-carbon flux (mg·m⁻²·d⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W. Virginia</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaska</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
bustion (0.035 Pg) is less than one-half of the current amount added annually to the peat in undrained boreal and subarctic fens and bogs (0.076 Pg). Carbon released from those same undrained peatlands as CH₄, although only 61% of the amount currently fixed by peat accumulation, has a much greater climatic significance. Multiplied by a factor of 20 to take account of its greater effectiveness as a "greenhouse" gas (Mooney et al. 1987), the release of CH₄-C would be equivalent to a release of 0.92 Pg of CO₂-C, more than an order of magnitude greater than the amount of CO₂-C currently fixed by peat deposition, and about 26 times that released by drainage and peat-fuel combustion. Whether such a multiplication factor is justified has recently been questioned by Lashof and Ahuja (1990), who point out that the residence time of CH₄ in the atmosphere (14.4 yr) is much shorter than that of CO₂ (230 yr). They estimate that the global warming potential of CH₄ may only be ≈3.7 times that of CO₂ on a molar basis. The shorter residence time of CH₄ reflects its greater chemical reactivity in the atmosphere, which may have side effects not presently calculable.

**Major Uncertainties in Estimates of Stocks and Fluxes**

The data bases for both stocks and fluxes are inadequate in almost every way, partly because peatlands have received proportionately little attention from ecologists and biogeochemists as compared to forests, grasslands, and aquatic ecosystems. Fortunately, peatland vegetation and landforms are relatively similar in North America and Eurasia (compare Sjörs 1961, 1963, Walter 1977, Glaser et al. 1981, and Wheeler et al. 1983), so that generalizations from one continent to another are quite reasonable.

**Carbon stock**

Three major deficiencies exist in measurements of carbon stocks in peatlands; they concern estimates of both area and depth of peat, and also its bulk density. These deficiencies can be illustrated with reference to the two countries that contain by far the largest areas of peatland, the USSR and Canada.

The estimate of Canadian peatland area (Fig. 1) is based on inventories taken by government agencies but, in northern Canada especially, these inventories are often either broad-scale or lacking (Tarnocai 1984). In Canada peatlands are defined as having a minimum 40-cm peat depth (Tarnocai 1984), whereas elsewhere a 30-cm depth is usually definitive (Kivinen and Paka- rinen 1981). To convert, rather crudely, the Canadian data to a 30-cm depth limit, I have added one-quarter of the area of Canadian wetlands that are shallower than 40 cm (Zoltai 1988), and assumed their mean depth to be 35 cm.

The mean depth of Canada's peatlands is also not securely founded, thousands of measurements being taken as representative of millions of hectares without any effort at stratified random sampling. My estimate of 2.2 m is lower than the 2.7 m calculated from data compiled by Tarnocai (1984), in particular because his estimates for the large areas of peatland in Ontario and Quebec are distinctly higher than those given by J. L. Riley (1987a and personal communication), and Bo- ville et al. (n.d.).

The area of Soviet peatlands (Fig. 2) is much more poorly known, or if known, is not readily accessible. Kivinen and Pakarinen (1981) estimate it, without much explanation, at 150 × 10⁶ ha, but according to Sabo (1980) the total wetland area (excluding tundra and forest-tundra) is 245 × 10⁶ ha (cf. Neustadt 1984). The wetland/peatland quotient resulting from these two estimates is 1.6, much higher than the quotient of 1.1 for Canadian peatlands (data of Zoltai 1988).

The mean depth of Soviet peatlands is also not well established. Neustadt (1984) indicates that in the major peat bogs it is "usually not greater than 3 to 4 m." In the belt of intensive peat accumulation he states that it averages 2.2 m, being considerably less (1.0–1.5 m) in regions with fewer peatlands. I have, therefore, estimated the overall mean depth conservatively at 2.5 m.

The bulk density of peat can vary widely both from place to place and within a single peat core. I have used (Gorham 1988) a mean figure of 112 g/L for Canadian peats, derived from extensive data sets of Tarnocai (1984), Boville et al. (n.d.), Riley (1987a,b), Riley and Michaud (1987), and E. Gorham and J. A. Janssens, (unpublished manuscript). As far as I know, mean data not been calculated for the USSR or for Fennoscandia, nor have I located any large data bases.

During the years to come it will be especially important to measure, by satellite imagery, changes in the area of boreal and subarctic peatlands, because climate change is likely to destroy them in some regions while stimulating their spread in others.

It may be noted as a final point that data on total live biomass (above- plus belowground) in boreal and

---

**Table 5. Present net carbon fluxes to and from boreal and subarctic peatlands, and the current carbon stock, in petagrams (1 Pg = 10¹⁵ g).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Carbon stock (Pg)</th>
<th>Carbon flux (Pg/yr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Undrained peatlands (Area 3.30 × 10¹² m²)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Released as CH₄ to atmosphere</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drained peatlands (Area 0.115 × 10¹² m²)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon flux</td>
<td>0.0085</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Released as CO₂ by long-term drainage</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All unmined peatlands (Area 3.42 × 10¹³ m²)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon stock</td>
<td>455</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deposited as peat over postglacial time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
subarctic peatlands are also very scarce. My calculations dealt with only 14 values compiled by Bradbury and Grace (1983) and Grigal et al. (1985).

**Carbon fluxes**

Present rates of accumulation of carbon in peat deposits cannot readily be measured because of the slowness of the decay process. Comparisons of annual gains and losses of carbon from three tundra and taiga ecosystems (Billings 1987) suggest that carbon accumulation can account for 6–60% of net primary production. As noted earlier, however, decay may go on anaerobically for hundreds or even thousands of years, so that dating of shallow peat cores, itself not easy to do and currently the subject of intensive investigation (N. R. Urban, personal communication), may yield results that are much too high. Even long-term mean rates over thousands of years are not often calculated; we have been able to gather only 136 basal $^{14}$C dates for our work on boreal and subarctic peatlands in North America (E. Gorham, J. A. Janssens, S. C. Zoltai, and R. S. Clymo, unpublished manuscript). Far fewer cores are available with multiple dates. Of these some yield more or less linear age/depth curves, suggesting relatively constant rates of accretion. Others are clearly curvilinear and consistent with Clymo’s (1984) model of decreasing peat accumulation over time.

Rates of release of CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ from drained peatlands require a great deal more study in relation to regional temperature and precipitation, and especially to the degree of drawdown of the water table by drainage. Data are very scarce; those available are reviewed by Armentano and Menges (1986). It will be of particular value to examine the balance between drawdown owing to local permafrost melting and thermokarst erosion, and flooding owing to the formation of thaw lakes; the effects of these phenomena upon CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ emissions are likely to be of great importance as the climate warms. Experimental manipulation of permafrost sites could be very useful in this context.

Clearly the rate of Soviet (and other) peat-fuel combustion needs to be established more accurately, given the disagreement between Kivinen (1980) and Botch and Masing (1983).

Methane emissions by undrained peatlands are being given increasing attention, but are among the least certain of the estimates in this review; much greater efforts should be made to study both regional and vegetational differences. More measurements are needed throughout the ice-free season along the latitudinal gradient.
(cf. Crill et al. 1988) in relation to both temperature and water table depth. Comparisons of open-water areas (presently very little investigated) with adjacent wet sedge fens and drier Sphagnum bogs should certainly be made in major peatlands such as those of the Hudson/James Bay Lowland (Riley 1982). Data from the Red Lake Peatland in Minnesota (Crill et al. 1988) indicate that in midsummer sedge fens emit substantially more methane (325 mg·m⁻²·d⁻¹) than forested bogs (130 mg·m⁻²·d⁻¹) and open bogs (85 mg·m⁻²·d⁻¹). At present the areas of fen and bog in that peatland are similar (P. H. Glaser, personal communication), but in the past the ratio of fen to bog has varied considerably (Janssens et al. 1990). The influence of vegetation type upon CH₄ emissions has also been observed in Canada (S. E. Bayley, personal communication).

The relationship of CH₄ emissions to the depth (or height) of the water table deserves particular attention, both in the field and experimentally (cf. Harries et al. 1982, Sebacher et al. 1986, Moore and Knowles 1989). In this connection, field experiments have shown that maintaining the water table artificially at the peat surface keeps CH₄ emissions distinctly above those where the water table is allowed to decline naturally over the summer (N. B. Dise, personal communication).

It is especially important to assess the influence of changing water tables, to be expected as a major consequence of climate warming, upon the balance between CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes. Both are important greenhouse gases, and as water tables fall CO₂ emissions may be expected to increase substantially while those of CH₄ decline sharply. Moore and Knowles (1989) have shown in experimental laboratory studies of peat cores that the molar ratio of CO₂ to CH₄ (Fig. 3) may rise from as low as 10 with the water table 10 cm above the peat, not uncommon in many fens, to >1000.

Fig. 2. The location of Soviet peatland resources (modified from Neustadt 1984).

Fig. 3. The influence of water table level (relative to the upper peat surface) upon the molar ratio of emissions of carbon dioxide to those of methane from peat columns in the laboratory (modified from Moore and Knowles 1989).
when the water table is 70 cm below the peat surface. The latter condition can be attained under artificial drainage, but also during severe natural droughts near the southern border of boreal peatlands (Verry 1984). A 10-cm drop in water table, easily envisaged under "greenhouse" warming, could thus exert a strong depressing effect upon methane emissions.

It must be noted that in undisturbed peatlands the CO₂ emitted during decomposition will have been derived earlier from the atmosphere. It will also be slightly less than the amount fixed from the atmosphere by photosynthesis, ≈8–9% of net primary production being stored in the peat (Gorham 1988, 1990).

Probable Responses to Climate Change

The preparation of plausible scenarios for the responses of peatlands to climate change must involve a great variety of considerations, for instance direct controls of peatland processes (production, decomposition, and storage of organic matter) by increased CO₂ and temperature, indirect controls by carbon/nutrient interactions and by altered hydrology and thermokarst erosion, increased accumulation of live plant biomass, possible involvement of the entire peat profile in carbon loss, the role of fire, and ultimately the topographic control of peat formation. Altered relationships to connected aquatic ecosystems, and export to those ecosystems, also deserve attention. Let us take up these considerations one by one.

Direct climatic controls

Houghton and Woodwell (1989) have suggested that increasing temperature is likely to increase plant respiration to a much greater degree than photosynthesis. It is also likely to increase microbial and fungal respiration, thus increasing CO₂ release to the atmosphere. The only available evidence on direct effects of increased atmospheric CO₂ and temperature on peatlands is provided by Billings et al. (1982, 1983, 1984), who placed intact cores of wet coastal-tundra peat from Barrow, Alaska, under controlled conditions in a phytotron. Doubling CO₂ from 400 to 800 μL/L over a simulated growing season at 8°C (including altered photoperiods) increased carbon capture in this ecosystem by 57% when the water table was maintained at the surface (Billings et al. 1983). However, another experiment showed that carbon capture at 8°C (the predicted July mean for Barrow under simulated climate warming) was 15% less than that at 4°C (the present July mean at Barrow), again with the water table at the surface (Billings et al. 1982). Still other experiments showed that lowering the water table by 5 or 10 cm strongly decreased carbon capture; in the latter case the tundra cores were actually converted from sinks to sources for atmospheric carbon over the growing season. A 10-cm decline in peatland water tables seems not unlikely as the projected climate warming takes place; in a small northern Minnesota bog it would be equivalent to a decline from the mean annual minimum level to the nondrought minimum observed over 20 yr (Verry 1984). During the severe drought of 1976 the minimum level fell 53 cm below the mean annual minimum.

Studies of the kind undertaken by Billings et al. should be extended over a wide range of peat types and environmental conditions. They should also include emissions of CH₄, along with those of CO₂.

The strong seasonal cycle of CH₄ emission (Crill et al. 1988, Whalen and Reeburgh 1988; N. B. Dise, personal communication) suggests that rising temperature could increase CH₄ emissions substantially. Although the data compiled by Crill et al. (1988) show little sign of any midsummer-emissions gradient reflecting the latitudinal gradient in summer temperature (Table 4), the longer ice-free season at southern latitudes will increase total annual emissions even if peak emissions are unaffected. The matter clearly deserves further study.

Another likely effect of higher temperatures will be to shift the zone of peat formation (and the zone of forested peatlands) northward (cf. Miller 1981). Zoltai and Pollett (1983, see also Zoltai and Tarnocai 1975) point out that in Arctic Canada peat formation was common 8500–9000 yr BP, whereas peat seldom accumulates there now. They suggest that the climate was warmer then, and that peat formation ceased owing to climatic cooling and strong development of permafrost. Presumably climatic warming would reverse this situation. At the same time peatlands at the southern boundary of the boreal zone, for instance the large Red Lake Peatland in northwest Minnesota (Glaser et al. 1981, Wheeler et al. 1983), where peat has accumulated unusually rapidly (Gorham 1987), would be likely to shift from being carbon sinks and become carbon sources if more frequent and extreme summer droughts (Manabe and Wetherald 1986, 1987; but see Mitchell and Warrilow 1987) were to cause a substantial drawdown of the water table and favor peat oxidation. These southern peatlands might also exhibit better tree growth and an increase of live biomass. During the drought of the 1930s tree-rings of black spruce and tamarack on Minnesota’s peatlands widened considerably (Hofstetter 1969, Tilton 1975).

Carbon/nutrient interactions

For increased carbon capture to take place, additional nutrients that are limiting in peatlands (chiefly nitrogen and phosphorus) must be made available. To what degree higher temperatures and lowered water tables will cause mineralization of those nutrients presently locked up in peat remains to be established. According to Billings et al. (1984), addition of nitrogen increased net ecosystem uptake of CO₂ by cores of Alaskan tundra peat.
Altered hydrology

The degree of peat oxidation induced by falling water tables, and indirectly by climate warming, is likely to be exceedingly important, but it will also be extremely difficult to forecast, for a variety of reasons. The hydrology of large peatlands is complex (Ivanov 1981, Siegel 1983) and can be affected by the nature of the vegetation as well as by the pattern of peat deposition (Woo and Heron 1987). So far, global modeling of the influence of “greenhouse” climate warming upon soil moisture has been restricted to terrestrial soils (Manabe and Wetherald 1986, 1987, Mitchell and Warrilow 1987), and peat deposits may be expected to behave very differently.

Modelling the CH₄ flux from a subarctic fen under a 2 × CO₂ (doubling of atmospheric CO₂) climate scenario (N. T. Roulet, T. R. Moore, and P. L. Fleur, personal communication) suggests that in such ecosystems the summer water table would fall sufficiently to more than offset the expected increase in CH₄ flux induced by higher temperatures.

Permafrost underlies a great many subarctic and boreal peatlands (Fig. 4 shows permafrost distribution in Canada, and may be compared with Fig. 1 for peatland distribution there.) In the West Siberian Plain permafrost extends south nearly to 59° N latitude (Baulin et al. 1984), and encompasses a good deal of the largest peatland in the world (Fig. 2). The effects of climatic warming upon permafrost (Lachenbruch and Marshall 1986) are likely to have profound consequences for the peatlands in which it occurs. Rapid runoff of water released from melting permafrost may exacerbate the alk in water tables to be expected from higher temperatures and increased evapotranspiration from larger standing crops of vegetation. This will favor release of CO₂ by peat oxidation, particularly in the upper horizons (Farrish and Grigal 1988). It is also likely to inhibit CH₄ emission (Harriss et al. 1982, Seaberch et al. 1986, Moore and Knowles 1989), perhaps by decreasing CH₄ production as the water table falls to depths where recently deposited and labile organic matter is less available, but probably also by increasing the depth of the peat column habitable by CH₄-oxidizing bacteria. If water tables are drawn down substantially, peatlands may actually become sinks for atmospheric CH₄, converting it into microbial biomass as well as the much less effective “greenhouse” gas, CO₂ (Harriss et al. 1982, Whalen and Reeburgh 1990).

Melting of permafrost is also likely to increase substantially the proportion of northern peatlands drained by thermokarst erosion (Billings et al. 1982, 1983, Billings and Peterson 1990). It will also lead to the formation of shallow thaw lakes from whose stirred-up, oxidizing organic sediments CO₂ will be released. However, many shallow thermokarst basins will eventually be colonized by sedges, and later by Sphagnum mosses and heaths (Drury 1956, Luken and Billings 1983), which will renew the sequestration of CO₂ in peat and the emission of CH₄ from it. The balance, under scenarios of climatic warming, between accelerated peat oxidation (and reduced CH₄ emission) in intact but drier peatland surfaces and restored peat accumulation (and CH₄ emission) in flooded and relocalized thermokarst basins cannot at present be predicted. It should have high priority for investigation, both by comparative studies and by experiments.

Accumulation of live plant biomass

It is likely that live plant biomass in peatlands will increase with rising summer temperatures, as does the dry biomass of pure stands of sedges, which increases from ≈200 g/m² at a midsummer temperature of 8°C to ≈500 g/m² at 16°C and almost 900 g/m² at 20°C (Gorham 1974). However, for nonwoody plants most of that biomass will be decomposed annually.

Temperature effects upon woody biomass that accumulates over the long term may be of much greater importance, but available data do not allow a clear separation from the indirect effects of a warmer climate operating through drawdown of the water table. The available data do indicate a broad range of overstory biomass in peatlands, from as little as 460 g/m² in a Finnish raised bog (61° N) to as much as 13 500 g/m² in a small kettle-hole bog in Minnesota (47°30' N) within 200 km of the forest/prairie border (Grigal et al. 1985). Moreover, data from Minnesota indicate a substantial increase in the width of black spruce and tamarack growth-rings during the drought of the 1930s (Hofstetter 1969, Tilton 1975). Such an increase was also evident during the dry summers of 1976 and 1977 (K. J. Vogel, personal communication), owing probably to improved root aeration and also to increased mineralization of limiting nutrients such as nitrogen and phosphorus from newly re-erated peat. However, where severe drawdown occurs in extremely nutrient-limited peatlands, live biomass may not increase and may even decrease. As shown by Tamm (1951, 1965) and Malmström (1952), after severe draining of the Swedish peatland Norra Hillmyrga, a community of Scirpus caespitosus with Eriophorum vaginatum, Sphagnum mosses, and Calluna vulgaris was replaced by a depauperate community of Andromeda polifolia, Polytrichum mosses, and Cladonia lichens. Only where wood ash was applied as fertilizer did vigorously growing, self-sown trees take over the peatland.

Carbon loss throughout the peat profile

According to Clymo (1984, 1987) the existence of a distinct gradient of increasing CH₄ concentration with depth in peat deposits indicates that anaerobic decomposition goes on slowly throughout the entire peat profile. If that is so, CH₄ production will not cease, though it may decrease substantially, as water tables fall. However, falling water tables could well result in the CH₄-oxidizing bacteria that inhabit aerobic peats scavenging all of the CH₄ produced in the remaining anaerobic
zone, and even some atmospheric CH₄ (Harriss et al. 1982, Whalen and Reeburgh 1990). It should be noted that the marked seasonality of CH₄ emission (Crill et al. 1988, Whalen and Reeburgh 1988; N. B. Dise, personal communication) suggests that evolution of CH₄ by the decomposition of deep peat is relatively unimportant. However, ¹³C-dating of CH₄ emissions from peatlands indicates that some old carbon must come from such a source (Wahlen et al. 1989). More work along these lines is clearly needed.

Fire

The role of fire, expected to increase substantially as climate warms (Clark 1988, Franklin et al. 1990), must also be considered as a factor in releasing stored carbon to the atmosphere. Fire scars are often visible in peatlands (Glaser et al. 1981), as are charcoal layers in peat (Robinson 1987). However, fires are likely to occur on peatlands much less frequently than on uplands, and estimates of the loss of peat in fires do not appear to be available.

Heinselman (1975) believes that fires may be important in retarding paludification. On the other hand, Walter (1977), reviewing the Russian literature, states that in West Siberia fire in upland forests can actually lead to paludification by allowing the establishment of Sphagnum obtusum and S. apiculatum on the newly burned surfaces, presumably because of the higher water tables favored by lower evapotranspiration.

Connections to aquatic ecosystems

Large northern peatlands are often dotted by shallow pools, ponds, and lakes (Gore 1983). According to Ivanov (1981: Table 18) there are hundreds of thousands of lakes >0.5 ha in the peatlands of the West Siberian Plain, with a mean size of 5–24 ha in different regions.
Moreover, they account for 2–25% of the peatland area in these same regions. Whether they are a hydrological requirement in these very large organic landforms is not known. If not, these water bodies may well contract and in many cases disappear under a warming climate; they are not a feature of more southerly continental peatlands (Glaser and Janssens 1986) such as the large Red Lake Peatland near the forest/prairie border in northwestern Minnesota (Glaser et al. 1981). Monitoring the extent of open water in areas such as the Hudson/James Bay Lowland and the West Siberian Plain by satellite-radar technology might be an excellent method of detecting the early effects of climate warming upon boreal and subarctic peatlands.

The function of freshwater habitats in transferring old carbon from peat to aquatic food chains has been demonstrated by Schell (1983). Disappearance of such habitats under climate warming might be of considerable importance for the wildfowl that utilize these peatlands (Stroud et al. 1987).

CONCLUSION

Given the diversity of possible responses by boreal and subarctic peatlands to climatic warming, it is impossible at present to predict their future contributions to the global carbon cycle.

Perhaps the boreal and subarctic zones of peat accumulation will merely be shifted northward (cf. Zoltai and Vitt 1990 for southward movement in the mid-Holocene). Using the techniques of Geographic Information Systems in conjunction with General Circulation Models for climate change under a doubled "greenhouse" gas scenario, it should be possible to determine the future climatic limits for, say, the zone in which peatlands account for >25% (or 75%) of the land area in Canada (see Fig. 1), or the zones of peat basins and major peat accumulation in the USSR (see Fig. 2). Topographic limits for peat formation, i.e., slopes on the order of 1 in 1000 except in exceedingly oceanic climates, must also be taken into account, as well as the total amount of land actually available to the northward.

Alternatively, and perhaps more likely, the rapidity of "greenhouse" warming may be such that it causes degradation of southern peatlands much faster than northern peatlands can expand northward toward the shores of the Arctic Ocean. If climate warming were to have an effect equivalent to that of present long-term drainage for forestry and pasturing in Finland and the Soviet Union (Armentano and Menges 1986), i.e., release of 30 g m⁻² yr⁻¹ as CO₂, then release of carbon from the total area of undrained peatlands (3.30 x 10¹² m²) would amount to 0.099 Pg yr⁻¹. As an extreme possibility, let us calculate the amount of carbon released if peat ceased to accumulate world-wide and instead 1 cm of peat of mean bulk density were decomposed annually, on average, owing to falling water tables. (The amount might be zero or even negative at high latitudes, and much greater at low latitudes.) Given a mean peatland depth of 2.3 m, 1 cm amounts to 1/230 of the total stock of 455 Pg of peat carbon (Table 1), or 2.0 Pg. This would be more than one-third of current annual carbon release owing to fossil-fuel combustion, 5.6 Pg (Houghton and Woodwell 1989), and comparable to the carbon released by deforestation, 0.4–2.5 Pg (Houghton and Woodwell 1989). It must be borne in mind, however, that under these scenarios, there is likely to be a very substantial reduction in CH₄ emissions.

Given the complexity of the issues raised here, it is clear that the possible influence of global warming upon the carbon cycle in boreal and subarctic peatlands merits an intensive (and expensive) research effort.
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